## Peer Evaluation by Group 4

# Group 3 [ 40/45 ]

### Section 1 [ 9/9 ]

•In the first part of this reprot, they have introduced the distinguished features of their system.

There are three distinguished features of their system. These features have been

introduced in detail and it can provide a very clear cognitive for the customers.

• Problem statement is clearly and has much details.

They divided their problems in a comparatively large scale: when order, when wait for

a table and so on so forth. In this way it’s straightforward that when they would meet

with what kind of difficulties. But a list can be used to illustrate the problems more

clearly.

• Customer statement of requirements are written from customer’s view.

They have provided and simulated a lot of problems which may be happen in the real

world and the customers will face to. For the each circumstance, they have

introduced how the system will reaction to certain problem.

• CSR describes the problems to be solved.

• Glossary of terms clearly indicates the terms without ambiguity.

They defined technical terms and non-technical terms individually in their project. The

roles are all defined with certain functions so they would not cause any

misunderstanding. It is very useful for customers who need read this report to

understand the process and principle for whole system.

### Section 2 [ 4/6 ]

• User stories illustrated clearly and logically.

They have included user stories for different roles. For each role, they contained all possible requirements for that role. They also use three different colors to specify the user stories in three differnet levels.

• User stories contain size of each story.

An expected time needed for each story was included so they would know how to schedule their work.

• Stories enumerated all functions needed to solve the problems.

They tried to enumerated all functions based on the problems they need to solve. But since they use a descriptive way to illustrated their problems, it might be a little bit difficult to match every problems with functions.

• One of the user story does not have Size.

• The diagram of user stories is well plotted.

• A high priority is assigned to more than half of all requirements

This will make the priority size less useful since there are too many top priority requirements. And the size key does not match the priority key. If a use story has a high priority, it means that the software developer need more effort to satify this use story completely.

### Section 3 [ 27/30 ]

• They haven’t identified human stakeholders, I think it is a little carelessness, but they have defined detailed actors.

• The actors they have defined are very easy to understanded and the actors exactly named, such as busboy, manager, host, chef and waiter. The participating actors which they have defined is database.

• For the use case, the UC’s name are exactly verb phrases and very detailed.

• The use case capture the whole dynamic aspects of system requirements and present a holistic view of system. In the meanwhile, they have Identified factors affecting the system, both external and internal.

• To illustrate their use case clearly, they have divided the use case diagrams into two parts. The additional work is worthy, even the system is a little complicated, they still present very straightforward and detailed use case diagrams.

• They have showed traceability matrix in different colors.

• They have listed fully-dressed description for each use case.

• In the table, they describe the related user stories, initiating actor, actors’s goals, participating actors, pre-conditions, post-conditions and flow of events for main success scenario.

• There is no alternative scenarios which should be broken into individual steps in the report. The fully-dressed description will become perfect, if they can list how to work with the exceptions or the failure scenario.

### Section 4 [ 13/15 ]

• In this report, we can find that they have design a lot of visual appearence for different screens.

• For each screen, they have explained the use of each UI and described how to deal with this screen.

• They have described a very clearly navagiton path for the whole system to all of the customers.

• They haven’t introduced worst-case scenarios.

• The user interface will be better, if they can provide the use effort estimation in detail for each specification scenarios.

### Section 5 [ 24/25 ]

• In this report, we can find that they have design a lot of visual appearence for different screens.

• They have defined enough concepts and excatly names for each concepts and the use case.

• They alreadly have provided a good tracebility matrix.

• They have elaborated designed a very high qualified domain model diagram, it give readers a clearly inspection of the whole system.

• In the part of system operation contract, the contracts for each system operation has been eactly defined and described in detail.

### Section 6 [ 5/5 ]

• They have a very clearly plan of action, they have properly divided the whole system into small tasks. For evey week, they have described what need to finish in order.

### Section 7 [ -1/-5 ]

• They have provided two reference in this part, but only the URL for each reference.